PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 2 9 FEB 2005 From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY see form PCT/ISA/220 (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of malling (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) FOR FURTHER ACTION Applicant's or agent's file reference See paragraph 2 below see form PCT/ISA/220 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 23.05.2003 24.05.2004 PCT/US2004/016405 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC B65D1/02 Applicant GRAHAM PACKAGING COMPANY, L.P. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Basis of the opinion ☑ Box No. 1 Box No. Ⅱ Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☑ Box No. III Lack of unity of Invention ☑ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial ☑ Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VI ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of malling of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. **Authorized Officer**

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Cazacu, C

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2645



International application No. PCT/US2004/016405

	Box No	. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	☐ Th lan (ur	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search address 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
 With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: 							
a. type of material:							
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
b. format of material:							
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
;	h	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional opies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as ppropriate, were furnished.					
	4. Additi	ional comments:					

International application No. PCT/US2004/016405

	Вох	No. II	Priority
1.	Ø	The fol	lowing document has not been furnished:
••		×	copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).
			quently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has heless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
2.		This o	pinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international late indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
3.		It has	not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document of available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has theless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
4	Δde	ditlonal	observations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/US2004/016405

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability								
	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	☐ the entire international application,							
×								
bec	ause:							
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):							
	visual visual visual particular elements helow) or said claims Nos. are so							
	side laterables, are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion							
×	to the season report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 8-23							
	the union acid convence licting does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex							
	the written form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
	the computer readable form		has not been furnished					
			does not comply with the standard					
. 🗆	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, or not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.							
	See separate sheet for further	r deta	ils					

International application No. PCT/US2004/016405

			-11		<u> </u>	
	Box No. I					
1.	In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has:					
☐ paid additional fees.						
		paid additional fees	under prot	est.		·
	⊠	not paid additional fe	es.			
	2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.					
3.	3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is					in accordance with their very
	□ comp	lied with				
	•	omplied with for the follo	wing reas	ons:		
	see separate sheet					
4.	4. Consequently, this report has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:					
	☐ all parts.					
	•					
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement,						
1.	. Stateme	ent				
	Novelty	(N)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7	
	Inventiv	e step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7	
	Industri	al applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-7	
2	2. Citation	ns and explanations				

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/016405

III/IV

1. In claim 1 and throughout the application the "outer annular wall" 128 is incorrectly described as "concave". Fig. 1 clearly discloses the fact that the annular wall 128 is convex (in other words the wall 128 "holds water").

In claim 1 and throughout the application the "outer annular wall" 128 is incorrectly described as "concave". Fig. 1 clearly discloses the fact that the annular wall 128 is convex (in other words the wall 128 "holds water").

Anyway, the application lacks unity within the meaning of Rule 13.1 PCT for the following reasons:

The subject-matter of claim 1 is not new, document US-A-4 892 205 disclosing all the features of claim 1 (see Fig. 10-12; in particular flat inner annular wall 92; central dimple 89 or 108).

The features of claim 1 are also disclosed by documents US-A-2002096486 (D2) (see in particular Fig. 5 and dimple as dome 85), US-A-5 785 197 (D3) (Fig. 5; dimple 144, flat inner annular wall 160), US-A-5 713 480 (D4) (Fig. 2; dimple 26, flat inner annular wall 28), US-A-2001035391 (Figs. 2, 3; dimple 48), US-A-2002/0153343 (Figs. 1-5; anti-inverting dome 48), JP-A-09039934 (dimple 5) and JP-A-10181734 (dimple 7). Out of these features of claim 1, the concave annular wall, the inner wall and the centrally located dimple are common to independent method claim 23, as well. Consequently, the common inventive concept for claims 1 and 23 is not new in respect of the prior art documents cited above.,

Hence the Examining Division considers that the following separate inventions or groups of inventions are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept, no common inventive idea existing between the following claims or groups of claims:

- 1. The group of inventions disclosed in claims 1-7, with claims 2-7 referring to the inner periphery being made of blow molded plastic material and solving the problem of how to make the container,
- 2. The group of inventions disclosed in claims 8-17 and 23, referring to the dimple comprising a plurality of spaced apart radially extending ribs and solving the problem of reinforcing the base,

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/016405

- 3. The invention in claim 18, referring to the inner portion of the outer annular wall having a support ridge and solving the problem of vertical stacking of such containers;
- 4. The invention in claim 19, referring to the standing ring (not defined as such in claim 11) having a certain diameter and solving the problem of providing a standing support for the container;
- 5. The invention in claim 20, referring to the container comprising a thin-walled body and a blown wide-mouth finish, solving the problem of easy pouring;
- 6. The invention in claim 21, referring to the base being substantially circular and solving the problem of equal distribution of forces in the container; and
- 7. The invention in claim 22, referring to the sidewall comprising a substantially cylindrical label panel and solving the problem of providing stability in the label area.

Since it is not clear on which invention or group of inventions the further prosecution of the application should be based, no further examination can be carried out. The applicant is asked to state upon which invention or group of inventions further prosecution of the application should be based and to limit the application accordingly.

Further, it should be mentioned that the features in the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).

Still further, the subject-matter of independent method claim 23 comprises in respect of claim 1 the supplementary feature "spaced apart radially extending ribs" which is also disclosed in documents D1 (ribs 80b in Fig. 7), D2 (ribs 85 in Fig. 4), etc.